

COMPACT OPERATORS

DEFINITION: Let X and Y be Banach spaces.

An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is called compact (or completely continuous) if T takes bounded sets in X into precompact sets in Y .

Equivalently, T is compact if and only if for every bounded sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset X$, $(Tx_n)_{n \geq 1}$ has a subsequence convergent in Y .

EXAMPLE: (finite rank operators)

We say that $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is a finite rank operator if $\dim(\text{ran}(T)) < \infty$.

Hence if $(x_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset X$, then $(Tx_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is also a bounded sequence (because $\|T\| < \infty$) in the finite-dimensional space $\text{ran}(T) \cong \mathbb{C}^N$.

So, we can extract a convergent subsequence by classical Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem.

(All the norms on $\text{ran}(T) \cong \mathbb{C}^N$ are equivalent, so it suffices to identify $\text{ran}(T)$ with \mathbb{C}^N as a vector space and the induced topology must be the usual topology on \mathbb{C}^N .)

THEOREM (I) (weak into norm convergence) [THM VI.11 Reed Simon]

A compact operator maps weakly convergent sequences into norm convergent sequences.

PROOF: Suppose $x_n \xrightarrow{w} x \Leftrightarrow D$
 $\Leftrightarrow D \quad f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x), \text{ for all } f \in X^*$

Step 1: Define $\hat{x}_n \in X^{**}$ by $\hat{x}_n(f) = f(x_n), \text{ for all } f \in X^*$

By fixing $f \in X^*$, we get that $(f(x_n))_{n \geq 1}$ is a strongly convergent sequence and, in particular, it is bounded, i.e. $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |f(x_n)| < \infty$

By uniform boundedness theorem, we get that if $\sup_{n \geq 1} |\hat{x}_n(f)| < \infty$, then

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \|\hat{x}_n\|_{X^{**}} < \infty$$

Hence $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|x_n\| = \sup_{n \geq 1} \|\hat{x}_n\|_{X^{**}} < \infty$

(using Hahn-Banach theorem: if $x \in X$, then the map $x \mapsto \hat{x}$ is a linear isometry from X into X^{**})

Hence $\|x_n\|$ are bounded.

Step 2: Let $y_n = Tx_n$. and $y = Tx$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(y_n) - \ell(y) &= (\ell T)(x_n - x) = \\ &= (T' \ell)(x_n - x) \text{ for any } \ell \in Y^* \end{aligned}$$

Thus y_n converges weakly to $y = Tx$ in Y

Step 3: Assume by contradiction that $y_n \not\rightarrow y$ in the norm topology

Then there is an $\epsilon > 0$ and a subsequence $(y_{n_k})_{k \geq 1}$ of $(y_n)_{n \geq 1}$ so that $\|y_{n_k} - y\| \geq \epsilon$

Since the sequence $(x_{n_k})_{k \geq 1}$ is bounded and T is compact, $(y_{n_k})_{k \geq 1}$ has a subsequence

that converges to a $\tilde{y} \neq y$. This subsequence must then also converge weakly to \tilde{y} .

But, this is impossible since y_n converges weakly to y .

Thus: $y_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} y$



THEOREM (II) [THM 4.12, Reed Simon]

Let X, Y, Z be Banach spaces and $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y), S \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Z)$

- (a) If $(T_n)_{n \geq 1}$ are compact and $T_n \rightarrow T$ in the norm topology, then T is compact.
- (c) If T or S is compact, then ST is compact.

PROOF: (a) let $(x_m)_{m \geq 1}$ be a sequence in the unit ball of X .

Since T_n is compact for each $n \geq 1$, we can find a subsequence of $(x_m)_{m \geq 1}$, call it $(x_{m_k})_{k \geq 1}$ such that $T_n x_{m_k} \rightarrow y_n$ for $n \geq 1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ (*)

We want to show that $(T_n x_{m_k})_{k \geq 1}$ is convergent in norm for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We will construct by induction a sequence $(\tilde{x}_{m,n})_{n \geq 1}$ of subsequences of $(x_m)_{m \geq 1}$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ this sequence has the following properties:

- (1) $(\tilde{x}_{m,n+1})_{m \geq 1}$ is a subsequence of $(\tilde{x}_{m,n})_{m \geq 1}$
- (2) for all $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 \leq n_1 \leq n$ we have that $(T_{n_1} \tilde{x}_{m,n})_{m \geq 1}$ is norm-convergent.

The induction: step 1 for $n=1$, we pick a subsequence $(x_{m_k})_{k \geq 1}$ such that $(T_1 x_{m_k})_{k \geq 1}$ is convergent (by (*), for $n=1$)

step 2 for $n=n_0 \geq 1$, assume that there exists a sequence $(\tilde{x}_{m,n_0})_{m \geq 1}$ satisfying

the properties (1) and (2).

For $n = n_0 + 1$

since T_{n_0+1} is compact, there exists a subsequence (\tilde{x}_{m_k, n_0}) such that $(T_{n_0+1} \tilde{x}_{m_k, n_0})_{k \geq 1}$ is convergent. Hence, $(\tilde{x}_{m, n_0+1})_{m \geq 1}$ also satisfies the properties (1) and (2) for $n = n_0 + 1$.

Thus, such a sequence $(\tilde{x}_{m, n})_{m \geq 1}$ exists.

Consider $(x_{m_k})_{k \geq 1} = (\tilde{x}_{k, k})_{k \geq 1} \in (x_m)_{m \geq 1}$, then

for fixed $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, and for $n_0 \leq k$, by (1) we have that:

$$(x_{m_k}) = (\tilde{x}_{k, k}) \subset (\tilde{x}_{k, n_0})$$

Hence the sequence $(T_{n_0} \tilde{x}_{k, k})_{k \geq 1}$ converges

Hence for $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $(T_{n_0} x_{m_k})_{k \geq 1}$ converges in $\|\cdot\|_Y$ and $(T_{n_0} x_{m_k}) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} y_{n_0}$

Now we will show that $(y_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

$$\|y_n - y_l\| \leq \|y_n - T_n x_{m_k}\| + \|T_n x_{m_k} - T_l x_{m_k}\| + \|T_l x_{m_k} - y_l\|$$

but $\|y_n - T_n x_{m_k}\| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$

$$\|T_n x_{m_k} - T_l x_{m_k}\| \leq \|T_n - T_l\| \cdot \|x_{m_k}\| \leq \|T_n - T_l\| \cdot 1 \rightarrow 0$$

as $n, l \rightarrow \infty$, since T_n is a Cauchy sequence in operator norm topology

and $\|T_l x_{m_k} - y_l\| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$

Hence $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n, l \geq N_0$

we have that $\|y_n - y_l\| < \varepsilon$.

Hence $(y_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Thus, there exists a unique $y \in Y$ such that $y_n \rightarrow y$ in $\|\cdot\|_Y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In a similar way,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx_{m_k} - y\| &\leq \|Tx_{m_k} - T_n x_{m_k}\| + \|T_n x_{m_k} - y_n\| + \|y_n - y\| \\ &\leq \|T - T_n\| \cdot \|x_{m_k}\| + \|T_n x_{m_k} - y_n\| + \|y_n - y\| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \cdot 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and large enough $k \in \mathbb{N}$

Hence $Tx_{m_k} \rightarrow y$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the norm topology.

Hence T is compact.

(c). Assume that S is compact.

and $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a bounded sequence in X .

We will show that $(STx_n)_{n \geq 1}$ has a convergent subsequence.

$$\|Tx_n\|_Y \leq c \cdot \|x_n\|_X < \infty, \text{ since } T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$$

Hence $(Tx_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is also bounded.

Since S is compact there is a subsequence of $(S(Tx_n))_{n \geq 1}$, call it $(S(Tx_{k_j}))_{k_j \geq 1}$, that converges.

Hence, we found a subsequence $(STx_{k_j})_{k_j \geq 1}$ of $(STx_n)_{n \geq 1}$ that converges.

Thus, ST is compact.

Now, assume that T is compact.
and $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a bounded sequence in X

then there exists a subsequence of $(Tx_n)_{n \geq 1}$,
call it $(Tx_{n_k})_{k \geq 1}$ that converges, e.g. $\exists y \in Y$ such that
 $Tx_{n_k} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} y$ in the norm topology.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence } \|STx_{n_k} - Sy\|_Z &= \|S(Tx_{n_k} - y)\|_Z \leq \\ &\leq C \cdot \|Tx_{n_k} - y\|_X \\ &\leq C \cdot \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and for large enough $k \in \mathbb{N}$

Hence $(STx_{n_k})_{k \geq 1}$ converges. and this means that
 ST is compact.

□

THEOREM (III) (Thm 11.13, Reed Simon I)

Let H be a separable Hilbert space. THEN,
Every compact operator on H is the norm limit
of a sequence of operators of finite rank.

PROOF: Let $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be an orthonormal basis in H .

Define $\lambda_n := \sup \{ \|T\psi\| : \psi \in (\text{span}(e_1, \dots, e_n))^{\perp}, \|\psi\|=1 \}$

λ_n is clearly monotone decreasing and since $\|T\psi\| \geq 0$, we have that the limit of $(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$ (as $n \rightarrow \infty$) exists and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \lambda \geq 0$

We will show that $\lambda = 0$.

Assume that $\lambda > 0$, then there exists a sequence $(\psi_n)_{n \geq 1}$ such that $\psi_n \in (\text{span}(e_1, \dots, e_n))^{\perp}$, $\|\psi_n\|=1$ and has $\|T\psi_n\| \geq \lambda/2$

Claim: $\psi_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$
(i.e. $\langle \varphi, \psi_n \rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in H$)

Let $\varphi \in H$, then $\varphi = \varphi_n^1 + \varphi_n^2$,
where $\varphi_n^1 \in \text{span}(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ and
 $\varphi_n^2 \in (\text{span}(e_1, \dots, e_n))^{\perp}$

Hence, $\varphi_n^2 \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$
and $\langle \psi_n, \varphi_n^1 \rangle = 0$ since $\psi_n \perp \varphi_n^1$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence, } |\langle \psi_n, \varphi \rangle| &= |\langle \psi_n, \varphi_n^1 + \varphi_n^2 \rangle| = \\ &= |\langle \psi_n, \varphi_n^2 \rangle| \\ &\stackrel{C-S}{\leq} \underbrace{\|\psi_n\|}_1 \cdot \underbrace{\|\varphi_n^2\|}_{\rightarrow 0} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\psi_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly, as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Then $T\psi_n \rightarrow 0$ in $\|\cdot\|_H$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
(using theorem (I))

Hence, $0 \leq \lambda/2 \leq \|T\psi_n\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \Rightarrow$

$\Rightarrow \lambda = 0$

Define $T_n : H \rightarrow H$ by $T_n = \sum_{j=1}^n (\varphi_j, \cdot) T\varphi_j$,
for $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Notice that $(T_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence of finite rank operators on H .

$$\begin{aligned}
& \| \sum_{j=1}^n (\varphi_j, \cdot) T\varphi_j - T \| = \\
& = \sup_{\substack{\psi \in H \\ \|\psi\|=1}} \| \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (\varphi_j, \cdot) T\varphi_j - T \right) \psi \|_H \\
& = \sup_{\substack{\psi \in H, \|\psi\|=1}} \| \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (\varphi_j, \psi_1) T\varphi_j - T\psi_1 \right) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (\varphi_j, \psi_2) T\varphi_j - T\psi_2 \right) \|_H \\
& \quad \psi = \psi_1 + \psi_2 \in \text{span}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n) \oplus (\text{span}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n))^\perp \\
& = \sup_{\substack{\psi \in H, \|\psi\|=1}} \| (T\psi_1 - T\psi_1) + (0 - T\psi_2) \|_H \\
& \quad \psi = \psi_1 + \psi_2 \in \text{span}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n) \oplus \text{span}(\varphi_{n+1}, \dots) \\
& = \sup_{\substack{\psi_2 \in (\text{span}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n))^\perp \\ \|\psi_2\|=1}} \| T\psi_2 \|_H = \lambda_n, \text{ but } \lambda_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\sum_{j=1}^n (\varphi_j, \cdot) T\varphi_j \rightarrow T$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$



REFERENCES:

- Reed M., Simon B., "Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics", vol. 1.